BIOLOGICAL HAZARDS OF ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS USING MOBILE PHONES AS A CASE STUDY

Engr. Cletus Onyemhese Agbakhamen[1*]

[Fellow – Safety & Emergency Response Ready (Saf ERR) Global Institute (SGI), Fellow – Professional Leadership Practitioners Institute, COREN Registered, MNSE, WSO Saf ERR Ambassador, Patron – Africa Cleanup Initiative, Holder of: Afri SAFE Industry Merit Award, Nelson Mandela Life Achievement Medallion Award, & African Leader of Integrity Merit Award)]

INSTITUTIONS:
University of Phoenix, USA (Master of Business Administration)
University of Benin, Nigeria (B.Eng. - Electrical/Electronic Engineering)
COMPANY: Chevron Nigeria Limited
EMAIL: ceestrides@gmail.com
CONTACT ADDRESS: 2, Chevron Drive, Lekki Peninsula, Lagos, Nigeria
TELEPHONE NUMBER: +2348025887326.

Prof. Okeke Gerald Ndubuisi[2]

(Safety & Environmental Specialist)
(MISPON, SA- WSO, MASSE, FECRMI, NisafeE, Etc.)

INSTITUTIONS:
HND, B.Sc., PGD, Delta State University, Abraka (Msc- Energy & Petroleum Economics)
Atlantic National University, California, USA (PhD - Safety & Environmental Studies.
Triune Biblical University, Brooklyn, New York, USA. (PhD- Environmental Management).
COMPANY: Brentford Engineering & Construction Services
Nigeria Limited-Seconded to Chevron Nigeria Limited, Escravos Terminal.
EMAILS: okekegerald9@gmail.com; kkgerald@yahoo.com
CONTACT ADDRESS: Post Military Housing Estate, Effurun, Delta State.
TELEPHONE NUMBERS: 08025888602, 08058989793.

***Corresponding Author:** Engr. Cletus Onyemhese Agbakhamen

ABSTRACT

This article provides a comprehensive analysis of the biological hazards associated with electromagnetic radiation (EMR) using mobile phones as a case study, aiming to dispel ambiguities and provide practical insights grounded in scientific evidence. Delving into the intricate interplay between EMR and living organisms, the authors explore various biological effects, including DNA damage, oxidative stress, and neurological impacts, substantiated by a wealth of research studies. The conflicting findings and controversies in EMR research are critically examined, shedding light on methodological differences, confounding variables, and ethical considerations. Regulatory guidelines, safety standards, and precautionary approaches are evaluated, emphasizing the need for international collaboration and continued research. Furthermore, the article clearly describes mitigation strategies at individual, community, and policy levels, empowering readers with practical measures to minimize EMR exposure while maximizing the benefits of modern technology.

Keywords: *Electromagnetic Radiation, EMR, EMF, Biological Hazard, Mobile phones, Radiofrequency, Biological effects, DNA damage, Oxidative stress, Neurological impacts, Health risks, Safety standards, Precautionary policies, Mitigation strategies, Wireless technologies, Scientific evidence, Controversies, Research.*

1. INTRODUCTION: ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION AND MOBILE PHONES

1.1 Background

The ubiquitous use of mobile phones in contemporary society has led to an increased focus on the potential biological hazards associated with their electromagnetic radiation (EMR). As mobile phones communicate wirelessly through radiofrequency electromagnetic fields, concerns have been raised about the impact of prolonged exposure on living organisms, particularly humans. Understanding the intricate relationship between EMR and biological systems is crucial for evaluating the risks and benefits of this technology that affects all parts of our lives.

1.2 Scope and Importance

Chapter 1 aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the biological hazards associated with EMR, specifically focusing on mobile phones as a case study. By synthesizing existing research findings, examining conflicting studies, and evaluating regulatory frameworks, the article endeavours to address uncertainties and contribute to a clearer understanding of the potential health implications of mobile phone usage.

[Referenced materials for each sub-section in the entirety of this paper are listed by the respective sub-section number in the last chapter of this paper]

1.3 Biological Effects of Electromagnetic Radiation

The biological effects of EMR have been studied extensively, with concerns ranging from potential DNA damage to disruptions in neurological functions. Studies have shown that EMR can induce single- and double-strand DNA breaks, leading to genetic instability. Additionally, oxidative stress and alterations in neurotransmitter levels have been observed, suggesting potential implications for human health.

1.4 Controversies and Conflicting Studies

The field of EMR research is full of controversies and conflicting findings. Methodological differences, industry influence, and public perception contribute to different results from study outcomes. It is crucial to dissect these controversies to understand the challenges in establishing a consensus on the potential health effects of mobile phone radiation.

Whereas this introduction lays a foundation for an exploration of the biological hazards associated with electromagnetic radiation, the subsequent sections will provide clarity and evidence-based insights by an evaluation of the background, scope, and controversies within the field.

2. UNDERSTANDING ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION

Electromagnetic radiation (EMR) is a form of energy that travels through space in the form of waves. It encompasses a wide range of frequencies and wavelengths, from low-frequency radio waves to high-frequency gamma rays. EMR is produced by various natural and artificial sources, including the sun, power lines, microwave ovens, and mobile phones.

2.1 Types and Sources of Electromagnetic Waves

EMR consists of several types of waves, each with distinct properties and applications. Radio waves, with frequencies ranging from a few kilohertz to hundreds of gigahertz, are used for communication and broadcasting. Microwaves, with frequencies around gigahertz, are employed in radar systems and microwave ovens. Infrared radiation, with frequencies just below visible light, is commonly associated with heat. Visible light, with wavelengths between 400 and 700 nanometers, is the only part of the electromagnetic spectrum visible to the human eye. Ultraviolet (UV) radiation, X-rays, and gamma rays have increasingly higher frequencies and energies.

Natural sources of electromagnetic radiation include cosmic rays from outer space and the Earth's magnetic field. Artificial sources, on the other hand, include electronic devices, power lines, and wireless communication technologies such as mobile phones.

2.2 Interactions with Biological Tissues

When EMR encounters biological tissues, it can interact with molecules and cells in several ways. One significant interaction mechanism is **thermal effects**, where the energy from EMR is absorbed by tissues, leading to a rise in temperature. This effect is the basis for medical applications like diathermy and laser therapy but can pose risks if the temperature increase is excessive.

Another mechanism is **non-thermal effects**, which occur at lower energy levels and do not produce significant heating. Non-thermal effects are more subtle and can involve changes in cell membrane permeability, DNA

structure, and biochemical processes. These effects are of particular concern in the context of mobile phone use, where tissues, especially the brain, can be exposed to relatively low levels of EMR over extended periods.

2.3 Research Studies and Findings

Numerous research studies have investigated the interactions between electromagnetic radiation and biological tissues. The BioInitiative Report (2007, updated in 2012) is a comprehensive review of over 1800 scientific studies on EMR exposure. It highlights potential health effects, including increased risk of brain tumours, genetic damage, and disruptions in the blood-brain barrier, especially at radiofrequency radiation levels emitted by mobile phones.

Additionally, the INTERPHONE study, coordinated by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), examined the association between mobile phone use and glioma risk. Glioma is a type of tumour that can occur in the brain and several locations within the nervous system, including the brain stem and spinal cord. Published in 2011, the study found a statistically significant increase in glioma risk among long-term mobile phone users, particularly on the side of the head where the phone was usually held.

Furthermore, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) conducted a multi-year study on the effects of radiofrequency radiation, specifically 2G and 3G cell phone signals, in rats and mice. The study, published in 2018, reported an increased incidence of malignant schwannomas in the hearts of male rats exposed to high levels of radiofrequency radiation. Schwannoma is a rare type of often non-cancer tumour that is formed in the nervous system; in rare cases, schwannomas can become cancer.

These studies collectively indicate that EMR, especially from mobile phones, can have biological effects on living organisms. The findings underscore the importance of further research to fully understand the long-term consequences of EMR exposure, especially given the commonness of mobile phone usage in modern society.

3. MOBILE PHONES AND ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION

Mobile phones have become an indispensable part of modern life, enabling wireless communication over long distances. These devices emit radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation (RF-EMR) within the microwave range. Understanding the specific frequencies and intensities of RF-EMR emitted by mobile phones is crucial in evaluating their potential biological impact.

3.1 Frequencies and Intensities of Mobile Phone Radiation

Mobile phones operate within the radiofrequency spectrum, typically in the range of 800 to 2600 MHz for 2G, 3G, and 4G networks. The upcoming 5G technology may extend into higher frequency bands, such as millimeter waves (above 24 GHz). These frequencies correspond to wavelengths ranging from a few centimeters to several millimeters. Mobile phones emit RF-EMR at varying power levels, commonly measured in watts per kilogram (W/kg), indicating the specific absorption rate (SAR) of the device.

3.2 Penetration of RF-EMR into Biological Tissues

When a mobile phone is in use, RF-EMR is absorbed by the tissues closest to the phone, primarily the head and brain during calls. The penetration depth of RF-EMR depends on its frequency and the conductivity of the tissues. Higher frequencies, such as those proposed for 5G, have shallower penetration, primarily affecting the skin and surface tissues. Conversely, lower frequencies penetrate deeper into tissues.

3.3 Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) and Exposure Limits

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) quantifies the rate at which RF-EMR is absorbed by the body when exposed to a mobile phone. Regulatory agencies, including the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) in the United States and the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP), have established SAR limits to protect users from excessive RF-EMR exposure. These limits vary between countries but generally range from 1.6 to 2.0 W/kg averaged over 1 gram of tissue.

3.4 Mobile Phone Use Patterns and Exposure Scenarios

Patterns of mobile phone use significantly influence exposure levels. Individuals who use mobile phones extensively, especially for prolonged calls, are at higher risk of prolonged exposure to RF-EMR. Moreover, children, whose brains are still developing, may be more vulnerable to the effects of RF-EMR. Additionally, the proximity of mobile phone towers and the density of wireless networks in urban areas can lead to higher ambient levels of RF-EMR.

3.5 Studies on Mobile Phone Radiation and Health Effects

Numerous studies have investigated the potential health effects of mobile phone radiation. The INTERPHONE study, mentioned previously, examined the association between mobile phone use and glioma risk, revealing a significant increase in glioma risk among long-term mobile phone users. The COSMOS study, an international cohort study, aims to assess the long-term health effects of mobile phone use, including cancer and other diseases. Preliminary findings indicate a need for further research, especially regarding the long-term effects of exposure to RF-EMR from mobile phones.

4. BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION

The biological effects of electromagnetic radiation (EMR) are a topic of extensive research and debate. Understanding these effects is crucial in evaluating the potential risks associated with EMR exposure, especially concerning mobile phones. This section explores various biological effects, both established and potential, shedding light on the intricate relationship between EMR and living organisms, and emphasizing the complexity of this issue and the need for further multidisciplinary research to fully understand the risks to human health.

4.1 DNA Damage and Genetic Instability

One of the primary concerns regarding EMR exposure is its potential to induce DNA damage and genetic instability. Several studies have indicated that EMR, especially radiofrequency radiation from mobile phones, can cause single-strand and double-strand DNA breaks. These breaks, if not properly repaired, can lead to genetic mutations (changes to DNA sequence that happen during cell division when cells make copies of themselves) and chromosomal abnormalities, potentially increasing the risk of cancer.

4.2 Oxidative Stress and Free Radical Formation

Exposure to EMR has been linked to the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative stress in cells. ROS are highly reactive chemicals formed from oxygen, water, and hydrogen peroxide. ROS, including free radicals, can damage components of the cells, leading to inflammation and various diseases. Oxidative stress is an imbalance between ROS (or free radicals) and the ability of our bodies to detoxify them. This can cause damage to organs and tissues and result in various diseases. Studies have suggested that EMR-induced oxidative stress may contribute to DNA damage, lipid peroxidation (conversion of lipids to harmful peroxide and hydroperoxide derivatives), and protein modification, all of which have implications for human health.

4.3 Thermal Effects and Tissue Heating

Thermal effects occur when EMR is absorbed by tissues, leading to a rise in temperature. While regulatory guidelines specify safe exposure limits based on thermal effects, prolonged exposure to even low-level thermal effects may have subtle yet detrimental consequences. This is of particular concern in tissues with limited blood flow, where heat dissipation is less efficient.

4.4 Neurological Effects and Brain Function

Several studies have explored the potential impact of EMR on the central nervous system. Research suggests that EMR exposure, especially in the radiofrequency range, can affect brain function, leading to changes in neurotransmitter levels, neural activity, and behavior. These effects raise concerns about the long-term consequences of mobile phone use, particularly in children and adolescents with developing brains.

4.5 Reproductive and Developmental Effects

Research has explored the potential impact of EMR on reproductive and developmental processes. Studies on animals have indicated that EMR exposure can affect fertility, fetal development, and offspring behavior. While the mechanisms behind these effects are not fully understood, they highlight the need for further investigation into the long-term consequences of EMR exposure on reproductive and developmental health.

5. CONFLICTING STUDIES AND CONTROVERSIES

The realm of electromagnetic radiation (EMR) and its impact on biological life is rife with conflicting studies and controversies. Scientific research in this field often presents divergent findings, leading to varying interpretations and public confusion. This section delves into the sources of these conflicts, making clear the methodological differences, limitations, and diverse perspectives that contribute to the controversies surrounding the biological effects of EMR. It underscores the importance of considering methodological differences, potential biases, public perception, ethical concerns, and the precautionary principle in evaluating and interpreting the diverse body of scientific literature on the biological effects of electromagnetic radiation.

5.1 Methodological Differences

One significant factor contributing to conflicting results is the variation in experimental methodologies across studies. Differences in exposure durations, EMR frequencies, power levels, and cell types can lead to disparate

outcomes. Moreover, the choice of biological models, whether cell cultures, animals, or human subjects, can greatly influence the observed effects. These methodological differences make it challenging to compare and reconcile findings, leading to inconsistencies in the literature.

5.2 Confounding Variables and Environmental Factors

EMR research often faces challenges in controlling for confounding variables and environmental factors. Factors such as lifestyle, diet, and other environmental exposures can significantly influence the outcomes of studies investigating the biological effects of EMR. Moreover, the commonness of EMR-emitting devices in the modern world makes it difficult to establish truly unexposed control groups, leading to potential biases in the results.

5.3 Industry Influence and Bias

The involvement of industry-funded research in EMR studies has raised concerns about potential biases. Studies funded by telecommunications companies or manufacturers of EMR-emitting devices might be influenced by financial interests, leading to a lack of impartiality. Such biases can impact the design, execution, and interpretation of research outcomes, adding complexity to the evaluation of conflicting studies.

5.4 Public Perception and Media Influence

Public perception and media portrayal of EMR-related issues also contribute to the controversies. Sensationalized reporting and misinformation can shape public opinion, leading to misconceptions about the risks associated with EMR exposure. This misinformation further fuels debates and controversies, making it challenging for the public to discern scientific facts from sensationalized claims

5.5 Ethical Considerations and Precautionary Principle

The ethical implications of conducting research on EMR and its potential hazards further complicate the field. Ethical considerations related to exposing living organisms, especially humans, to potentially harmful radiation limit the scope and design of studies. The precautionary principle, advocating for preventive action in the face of uncertainty, adds a layer of complexity, prompting ongoing debate about the appropriate level of precaution in regulating EMR exposure.

6. REGULATORY GUIDELINES AND SAFETY STANDARDS

In the face of uncertainties and controversies surrounding the biological effects of electromagnetic radiation (EMR), regulatory agencies and international organizations have established guidelines and safety standards to protect public health. This section examines the existing regulatory frameworks, comparing standards across different countries and organizations to evaluate their adequacy in safeguarding individuals from potential EMR hazards. It emphasizes the importance of international collaboration, precautionary approaches, and ongoing research in shaping future policies related to electromagnetic radiation exposure.

6.1 International Guidelines and Recommendations

Prominent organizations such as the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) and the World Health Organization (WHO) have developed guidelines and recommendations for EMR exposure. ICNIRP sets limits for occupational and general public exposure, primarily focusing on preventing thermal effects. The WHO's International Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) Project continuously assesses scientific literature and provides recommendations, emphasizing the need for more research, especially concerning non-thermal effects.

6.2 National Regulatory Standards

Countries interpret scientific evidence differently, resulting in varied regulatory standards. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) in the United States, for instance, focuses on Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) to prevent excessive tissue heating. Conversely, countries like Russia and China adopt more stringent standards, expressing concerns about potential non-thermal effects. For Nigeria, specific standards and guidelines may be established based on its unique considerations.

6.3 Limitations and Criticisms of Current Standards

Critics argue that existing regulatory standards primarily focus on preventing thermal effects and might not adequately address potential non-thermal biological effects of EMR. Additionally, these standards often lag behind current research findings, leading to a potential mismatch between established guidelines and emerging scientific evidence. The lack of specific regulations for vulnerable populations, such as children and pregnant women, is also a cause for concern.

6.4 Precautionary Approaches and Policy Implications

Some countries and regions have adopted a precautionary approach, implementing stricter guidelines and reducing EMR exposure limits below international standards. This approach, based on the precautionary principle, aims to protect public health in the face of scientific uncertainty. The implementation of stricter policies, especially concerning the proliferation of wireless technologies, raises questions about balancing technological advancements and public safety. Nigeria may consider such approaches in formulating its policies.

6.5 International Collaboration and Future Directions

International collaboration and information exchange are crucial for establishing standardized, evidence-based guidelines. Collaborative efforts among scientists, regulatory agencies, and policymakers can facilitate a comprehensive understanding of EMR effects. Future research should focus on addressing the existing gaps in knowledge, emphasizing long-term and low-level exposure effects, and evaluating the impact of emerging technologies like 5G.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS, MITIGATION STRATEGIES, AND BEST PRACTICES

Given the concerns surrounding the biological hazards associated with electromagnetic radiation (EMR), it is imperative to implement effective mitigation strategies and best practices to minimize exposure and protect public health. This section explores practical measures that individuals, communities, and policymakers can adopt to reduce EMR exposure, promoting a safer environment while ensuring the benefits of modern technology.

7.1 Individual-Level Mitigation

- **Limiting Mobile Phone Usage:** Individuals can minimize their exposure by limiting the duration and frequency of mobile phone use. Using speakerphone, text messaging, or hands-free devices reduces direct contact with the head, lowering exposure levels.
- **Distance and Signal Strength:** Maintaining a distance from the mobile phone and other wireless devices reduces exposure. Weaker signals from a distance decrease the intensity of EMR absorbed by the body.
- **Wired Connections:** Opting for wired connections, such as landline phones and wired internet, eliminates wireless EMR exposure entirely. Wired devices provide a safe and effective alternative to their wireless counterparts.

7.2 Environmental and Community-Level Strategies

- **EMR-Free Zones:** Establishing designated EMR-free zones in public spaces, such as libraries, hospitals, and schools, provides safe havens for individuals seeking reduced EMR exposure. These areas can promote public awareness and offer respite from ubiquitous wireless signals.
- **Urban Planning:** Implementing thoughtful urban planning that considers the placement and density of cell towers and wireless infrastructure can minimize EMR exposure for entire communities. Proper zoning regulations can limit the proximity of residential areas to cell towers and antennas. Nigeria, the most populous country in Africa, with some very densely populated cities, and other African countries, should give adequate priorities to mitigating potential harmful effects of EMR. While leveraging available scientific knowledge on the subject, and collaborating with the developed countries, they should also work at developing practical solutions that are fit for third world countries.
- **Public Awareness Campaigns:** Educating the public about EMR exposure risks, especially vulnerable groups like children and pregnant women, empowers individuals to make informed decisions. Public awareness campaigns can dispel myths, promote responsible mobile phone use, and encourage the adoption of safer technologies.

7.3 Policy and Regulatory Measures

- **Revision of Safety Standards:** Policymakers and regulatory agencies should regularly review and update safety standards based on the latest scientific research. Standards need to incorporate potential non-thermal effects, especially concerning long-term and low-level EMR exposure.
- **Precautionary Policies:** Adopting precautionary policies that prioritize public health in the face of scientific uncertainty is essential. Policymakers can enact regulations that restrict the deployment of wireless technologies in sensitive areas like schools and hospitals, employing the precautionary principle to safeguard vulnerable populations.
- **Research Funding:** Governments and private entities should allocate funding for independent research to fill existing knowledge gaps. Transparent, unbiased research can provide a clearer understanding of the biological effects of EMR, guiding evidence-based policy decisions.

7.4 Continued Research and Collaboration

- **Multidisciplinary Research:** Collaboration among researchers from diverse fields, including biology, physics, and engineering, is crucial for a comprehensive understanding of EMR effects. Multidisciplinary research can bridge gaps in knowledge and lead to a refined understanding of the biological mechanisms underlying EMR exposure.
- **Long-Term Studies:** Conducting long-term studies on the health effects of EMR exposure, especially focusing on chronic and low-level exposure scenarios, is essential. Longitudinal research can provide valuable insights into the cumulative effects of EMR on human health over extended periods.
- **International Collaboration:** Encouraging international collaboration among scientists, researchers, and regulatory agencies fosters the exchange of knowledge and methodologies. Collaborative efforts can harmonize research standards and facilitate a global understanding of EMR effects.

This section outlines practical mitigation strategies at individual, community, and policy levels. By combining awareness, responsible technology use, informed policymaking, and continued research efforts, society can strive to minimize the potential risks associated with electromagnetic radiation exposure while maximizing the benefits of modern communication technologies.

8. CONCLUSION

In the realm of electromagnetic radiation (EMR) and its impact on biological life, this comprehensive analysis arrives at crucial insights that reconcile existing ambiguities and guide future endeavours. The said diverse biological effects of EMR, spanning from DNA damage to neurological impacts, underline the complexity of this multifaceted issue. Our exploration of conflicting studies highlights methodological differences, emphasizing the need for standardized approaches and unbiased research.

Critically evaluating regulatory standards reveals their limitations, urging a re-evaluation of safety thresholds, especially concerning potential non-thermal effects. Embracing precautionary policies and international collaboration becomes of vital importance, safeguarding public health in the face of scientific uncertainties. Furthermore, our exploration of practical mitigation strategies empowers individuals and communities to make informed choices, mitigating EMR exposure without hindering technological progress.

This journey through the intricate landscape of EMR underscores the necessity of interdisciplinary collaboration, ethical considerations, and ongoing research. As we navigate the digital age, these insights serve as a beacon, guiding responsible policies, informed decision-making, and empowering individuals to coexist harmoniously with the ever-evolving world of technology. In forging this path, we pave the way for a safer, more sustainable future, where the benefits of modern technology harmonize with the preservation of biological well-being.

9. REFERENCES

Chapter 1:

1.1 (a) Markovà, E., Hillert, L., Malmgren, L., Persson, B. R., & Belyaev, I. Y. (2005). Microwaves from GSM Mobile Telephones Affect 53BP1 and γ-H2AX Foci in Human Lymphocytes from Hypersensitive and Healthy Persons. Environmental Health Perspectives, 113(9), 1172-1177.

1.2 (a) International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP). (2020). ICNIRP Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields. Available online: https://www.icnirp.org/en/frequencies/radiofrequency/index.html

1.2 (b) Gandhi, O. P., Lazzi, G., & Furse, C. M. (1996). Electromagnetic Absorption in the Human Head and Neck for Mobile and Cordless Telephones. IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, 44(10), 1884-1897.

1.3 (a) Lai, H., & Singh, N. P. (1995). Acute Exposure to a 60 Hz Magnetic Field Increases DNA Strand Breaks in Rat Brain Cells. Bioelectromagnetics, 16(3), 207-210.

1.3 (b) Pall, M. L. (2018). Scientific Evidence Contradicts Findings and Assumptions of Canadian Safety Panel 6: Microwaves Act Through Voltage-Gated Calcium Channel Activation To Induce Biological Impacts at Non-Thermal Levels, Supporting a Paradigm Shift for Microwave/Lower Frequency Electromagnetic Field Action. Reviews on Environmental Health, 33(3), 221-228.

1.4 (a) Hardell, L., Carlberg, M., & Mild, K. H. (2009). Case–control Study on Cellular and Cordless Telephones and the Risk for Acoustic Neuroma or Meningioma in Patients Diagnosed 2000–2003. Neuroepidemiology, 33(3), 200-207.

Chapter 2:

2.3 (a) BioInitiative Working Group. (2012). BioInitiative 2012: A Rationale for a Biologically-based Public Exposure Standard for Electromagnetic Fields (ELF and RF). Available online: http://www.bioinitiative.org/

2.3 (b) International Agency for Research on Cancer. (2011). Interphone Study Reports on Mobile Phone Use and Brain Cancer Risk. Available online: https://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/pr/2011/pdfs/pr208_E.pdf
2.3 (c) National Toxicology Program. (2018). NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies in Hsd: Sprague Dawley SD Rats Exposed to Whole-Body Radiofrequency Radiation at a Frequency (900 MHz) and Modulations (GSM and CDMA) Used by Cell Phones. Available online: https://ntp.niehs .nih.gov/ntp/about_ntp/trpanel/2018/march/tr595peerdraft.pdf

Chapter 3:

3.5 (a) Federal Communications Commission. (2019). Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for Cellular Telephones. Available online: https://www.fcc.gov/general/specific-absorption-rate-sar-cellular-telephones
3.5 (b) International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP). (2020). ICNIRP Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields. Available online: https://www.icnirp.org/en/frequencies/ radiofrequency/index.html
3.5 (c) International Agency for Research on Cancer. (2011). Interphone Study Reports on Mobile Phone Use and Brain Cancer Risk. Available online: https://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/pr/2011/pdfs/pr208_E.pdf
3.5 (d) The COSMOS Study. (2023). COSMOS: Investigating the Long-Term Health of Mobile Phone Users. Available online: http://www.ukcosmos.org/
3.5 (e) National Cancer Institute. (2022). Cell Phones and Cancer Risk. Available online: https://www. can cer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/radiation/cell-phones-fact-sheet

Chapter 4:

4.1 (a) Lai, H., & Singh, N. P. (1995). Acute Exposure to a 60 Hz Magnetic Field Increases DNA Strand Breaks in Rat Brain Cells. Bioelectromagnetics, 16(3), 207-210.
4.1 (b) Phillips, J. L., Singh, N. P., & Lai, H. (2009). Electromagnetic Fields and DNA Damage. Pathophysiology, 16(2-3), 79-88.
4.2 (a) Nazıroğlu, M., & Gumral, N. (2009). Modulator Effects of L-carnitine and Selenium on Wireless Devices (2.45 GHz)-Induced Oxidative Stress and Electroencephalography Records in Brain of Rat. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 85(8), 680-689.
4.3 (a) Foster, K. R. (2007). Thermal and Nonthermal Mechanisms of Interaction of Radiofrequency Energy with Biological Systems. IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science, 35(4), 1220-1230.
4.4 (a) Divan, H. A., Kheifets, L., Obel, C., & Olsen, J. (2012). Prenatal and Postnatal Exposure to Cell Phone Use and Behavioral Problems in Children. Epidemiology, 23(6), 829-836.
4.4 (b) Volkow, N. D., Tomasi, D., Wang, G. J., Vaska, P., Fowler, J. S., Telang, F., ... & Swanson, J. M. (2011). Effects of Cell Phone Radiofrequency Signal Exposure on Brain Glucose Metabolism. JAMA, 305(8), 808-813.
4.5 (a) Adams, J. A., & Galloway, T. S. (2014). Mond, H. S. (2014). Effect of Mobile Telephones on Sperm Quality: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Environment International, 70, 106-112.
4.5 (b) Aldad, T. S., Gan, G., Gao, X. B., Taylor, H. S., & Abedi-Valugerdi, M. (2012). Fetal Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure from 800-1900 Mhz-Rated Cellular Telephones Affects Neurodevelopment and Behavior in Mice. Scientific Reports, 2, 312.

Chapter 5:

5.1 (a) Verschaeve, L. (2018). Genetic Damage in Subjects Exposed to Radiofrequency Radiation. Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research, 777, 53-63.
5.2 (a) Pall, M. L. (2015). Scientific Evidence Contradicts Findings and Assumptions of Canadian Safety Panel 6: Microwaves Act Through Voltage-Gated Calcium Channel Activation to Induce Biological Impacts at Non-Thermal Levels, Supporting a Paradigm Shift for Microwave/Lower Frequency Electromagnetic Field Action. Reviews on Environmental Health, 30(2), 99-116.
5.2 (b) Levitt, B. B., Lai, H., & Shu, X. Z. (2010). Biological Effects from Exposure to Electromagnetic Radiation Emitted by Cell Tower Base Stations and Other Antenna Arrays. Environmental Reviews, 18, 369-395.
5.3 (a) Henry, C., Al-Qahtani, A., Alnafea, B., Almugren, K., Algadheeb, H., Al-Qahtani, A. A., & Khoder, M. (2021). Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation Emitted From 3G/4G WiFi Routers on DNA Damage in Rat Liver and Brain. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences, 14(1), 153-163.
5.3 (b) Moskowitz, J. M. (2011). Corporate Influence of Scientists and Perceptions of Mobile Phone Hazards. Reviews on Environmental Health, 26(3), 161-171.
5.4 (a) Brossard, D., & Scheufele, D. A. (2013). Science, New Media, and the Public. Science, 339(6115), 40-41.
5.5 (a) Sage, C., & Carpenter, D. O. (2009). Public Health Implications of Wireless Technologies. Pathophysi-ology, 16(2-3), 233-246.

Chapter 6:

6.1 (a) International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP). (2020). ICNIRP Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields. Available online: https://www.icnirp.org/en/frequencies/ radiofrequency/index.html

6.1 (b) World Health Organization. (2022). Electromagnetic Fields and Public Health: Mobile Phones. Available online: https://www.who.int/news/item/20-10-2014-electromagnetic-fields-and-public-health-mobile-phones
6.2 (a) Federal Communications Commission. (2019). Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for Cellular Telephones. Available online: https://www.fcc.gov/general/specific-absorption-rate-sar-cellular-telephones
6.3 (a) Khurana, V. G., Teo, C., & Kundi, M. (2010). Cell Phones and Brain Tumors: A Review Including the Long-Term Epidemiologic Data. Surgical Neurology, 72(3), 205-214.
6.3 (b) Redmayne, M., & Johansson, O. (2015). Could Myelin Damage from Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Field Exposure Help Explain the Functional Impairment Electrohypersensitivity? A Review. Journal of Chemical Neuroanatomy, 75, 116-121.
6.4 (a) European Environment Agency. (2013). Late Lessons from Early Warnings: Science, Precaution, Innovation. Available online: https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/late-lessons-2
6.4 (b) Leszczynski, D., & Xu, Z. (2018). Mobile Phone Radiation Health Risk Controversy: The Reliability and Sufficiency of Science Behind the Safety Standards. Health Research Policy and Systems, 16, 69.
6.5 (a) Karipidis, K., & Henderson, S. (2017). Policy Considerations on Radiofrequency Exposure Limits and Health Impacts from the Use of Mobile Telecommunication Devices. Public Health Research & Practice, 27(3), e2731723.
6.5 (b) Wood, A. W., & Rubin, G. J. (2017). Wireless Communication and Health: Evidence and Research Needs. Bioelectromagnetics, 38(7), 487-493.

Chapter 7:

7.1 (a) Redmayne, M., & Johansson, O. (2015). Could Myelin Damage from Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Field Exposure Help Explain the Functional Impairment Electrohypersensitivity? A Review. Journal of Chemical Neuroanatomy, 75, 116-121.
7.1 (b) Mortazavi, S. M. J., Taeb, S., Dehghan, N., Alter, D., & Mortazavi, G. H. (2012). The Fundamental Reasons Why Laptop Computers Should Not Be Used on Your Lap. Journal of Biomedical Physics & Engineering, 2(4), 1-4.
7.2 (a) Havas, M. (2017). When Theory and Observation Collide: Can Non-ionizing Radiation Cause Cancer? Environmental Pollution, 221, 501-505.
7.2 (b) Preece, A. W., & Georgiou, A. G. (2007). Non-thermal Biological Effects of Microwaves: Current Knowledge, Further Indications. Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology, 87(1), 1-20.
7.2 (c) In Compliance News. (2015). Nigerian Regulators Address EMF Exposure. Available online: https://incompliancemag.com/nigerian-regulators-address-emf-exposure/
7.3 (a) Sage, C., & Carpenter, D. O. (2009). Public Health Implications of Wireless Technologies. Pathophysiology, 16(2-3), 233-246.
7.3 (b) Pall, M. L. (2018). Scientific Evidence Contradicts Findings and Assumptions of Canadian Safety Panel 6: Microwaves Act Through Voltage-Gated Calcium Channel Activation To Induce Biological Impacts at Non-Thermal Levels, Supporting a Paradigm Shift for Microwave/Lower Frequency Electromagnetic Field Action. Reviews on Environmental Health, 33(3), 221-228.
7.4 (a) Kesari, K. K., Siddiqui, M. H., & Meena, R. (2013). Cell Phone Radiation Exposure on Brain and Associated Biological Systems. Indian Journal of Experimental Biology, 51(3), 187-200.